the history of our great Nation.

I recognize the courage shown by the distinguished senior Senator from West Virginia, Mr. BYRD—Senator BYRD gave us a history lesson which will be studied long after all of us are goneand the distinguished Senator from Nevada, Mr. BRYAN, who, during the course of consideration of this proposal, looked inside themselves, looked to the principles of this country and changed the position they had held before. I commend them for that. I thank them. Their legacy will include their dedication to the Constitution and their vote to uphold, protect, and defend it.

I thank Prof. Gary May, Keith Kruel, James Warner, Rev. Nathan Wilson, Prof. Robert Cole, the American Bar Association, People for the American Way, and the ACLU for their views.

I thank Maj. Gen. Patrick Brady and Lt. Gen. Edward Baca for their testimony opposed to the position I have taken today.

I commend Senate staff on both sides of the aisle, those for the amendment and those opposed. I think in this case I may be allowed to thank Bruce Cohen and Julie Katzman of my staff, who spent far more hours than this Senator had any right to ask them to spend on this in answering every question I ever asked, anticipating those I was not wise enough to ask, and always giving me good counsel. Bob Schiff, Andrea LaRue, Michaela Sims, and Barbara Riehle, they should be proud of their work and of the Senate's action today.

I would also like to thank my friend and Chairman, Orrin HATCH, who has fought so hard for this amendment over the years.

Mr. President, I see other Senators seeking recognition. I will yield the floor in one moment. Again, I thank all Senators on both sides of the issue for their dedication to this issue.

I vield the floor.

Mr. WARNER. Mr. President, we respect the comments of our colleague from Vermont. Recognition should also go to Senator HATCH. I realize Senator LEAHY also was about to speak on behalf of Senator HATCH. I want to recognize his efforts in working with the Senator from Vermont on this issue. The final vote was 63, and that is well beyond 50 percent of the Senate by which most issues are decided.

Mr. President, at this time, I notice the senior Senator from South Carolina on the floor. Lask unanimous consent that I be recognized following his presentation.

The PRESIDING OFFICER, Without objection, it is so ordered.

MORNING BUSINESS

Mr. THURMOND. Mr. President. I ask unanimous consent that there now be a period for the transaction of rou-

the Bill of Rights for the first time in tine morning business, with Senators permitted to speak therein for up to 10 minutes each.

> The PRESIDING OFFICER. Without objection, it is so ordered.

THE PLIGHT OF ANDREI BABITSKY

Mr. KENNEDY. Mr. President, I welcome this opportunity to express my concern about Andrei Babitsky, the accomplished Russian journalist who still faces serious charges in Russia after being held captive first by Russian authorities, then by Chechens, and now again by Russian authorities.

Mr. Babitsky has worked for the last 10 years for the U.S. government-funded broadcasting service, Radio Free Europe/Radio Liberty. He is well-known as one of the most courageous reporters who has covered the conflict in Chechnya. The skill and courage he demonstrated in his coverage of the conflict are clearly the major reasons for his continuing plight.

Russian authorities repeatedly expressed displeasure with Mr. Babitsky's reporting of Russian troop casualties and Russian human rights violations against Chechen civilians in the weeks leading up to his arrest. On January 8, his Moscow apartment was ransacked by members of the Federal Security Service, the FSB, which is the successor organization to the KGB. They confiscated film alleged to contain photos of dead Russian soldiers in Chechnya.

On January 16, Mr. Babitsky was seized by Russian police in the Chechen battle zone. After first denying that he was in their custody, Russian authorities claimed that Mr. Babitsky had been assisting the Chechen forces and was to stand trial in Moscow.

On February 3, the Russian government announced that Mr. Babitsky had been handed over to Chechen units in exchange for Russian prisoners, a violation of the Geneva Convention to which Russia is a party. Subsequently, Russian authorities claimed to have no knowledge of Mr. Babitsky's whereabouts. As it turns out, he was taken to a so-called "filtration camp" for suspected Chechen collaborators, then held at an undisclosed location by Chechen forces loyal to Moscow.

On February 25, Mr. Babitsky was taken to the Republic of Dagestan and told he was about to be freed. But authorities said he was carrying false identity papers, and they arrested and jailed him. Mr. Babitsky says the papers were forced on him by his captors in Chechnya and used to smuggle him over the border.

Facing international pressure to account for Mr. Babitsky's whereabouts since his disappearance, Russian authorities flew Mr. Babitsky to Moscow and released him on his own recognizance.

The allegations of assisting Chechen forces and carrying forged identity papers still stand against Mr. Babitsky. If convicted, he faces at least two years in prison on the identity papers charges alone. The State Department would like to see this case resolved. Radio Free Europe/Radio Liberty is seeking to have all charges against Mr. Babitsky dropped, and I strongly support this effort.

Article 19 of the Universal Declaration of Human Rights guarantees the right to seek and to impart information through the media, regardless of frontiers. Taking into custody any reporter, and transferring him to the custody of hostile forces, is a serious human rights violation and behavior unbefitting a democracy.

I urge the newly-elected Russian President, Vladimir Putin, to demonstrate his commitment to the principles of democracy and respect for human rights and freedom of the press by seeing to it that the trumped-up charges against Mr. Babitsky are dropped.

THE VERY BAD DEBT BOXSCORE

Mr. HELMS. Mr. President. at the close of business yesterday, Tuesday, March 28, 2000, the Federal debt stood at \$5,733,741,907,422.83 (Five trillion, seven hundred thirty-three billion, seven hundred forty-one million, nine hundred seven thousand, four hundred twenty-two dollars and eighty-three cents).

Five years ago, March 28, 1995, the Federal debt stood at \$4,849,996,000,000 (Four trillion, eight hundred forty-nine billion, nine hundred ninety-six million).

Ten years ago, March 28, 1990, the Federal debt stood at \$3,051,947,000,000 (Three trillion, fifty-one billion, nine hundred forty-seven million).

Fifteen years ago, March 28, 1985, the Federal debt stood at \$1,710,720,000,000 (One trillion, seven hundred ten billion, seven hundred twenty million).

Twenty-five years ago, March 1975, the Federal debt stood at \$508,988,000,000 (Five hundred eight billion, nine hundred eighty-eight million) which reflects a debt increase of more than \$5 trillion— \$5,224,753,907,422.83 (Five trillion, two hundred twenty-four billion, seven hundred fifty-three million, nine hundred seven thousand, four hundred twenty-two dollars and eighty-three cents) during the past 25 years.

ELECTIONS IN SENEGAL

Mr. FEINGOLD. Mr. President, I rise today to congratulate the people of Senegal on their recent democratic presidential elections. On March 19, the citizens of Senegal selected a new leader, Abdoulage Wade of the Senegalese Democratic Party, in run-off elections for the presidency. This election was not just for show. The Senegalese people were not simply going through the